

| arnborough Drive, Moss Vale - amend Lot Size Map and rezone land to B1 | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Proposal Title : | Farnborough Drive, Moss Vale | - amend Lot Size Map and | rezone land to B1 | |
| Proposal Summary Amend Lot Size Map for Lot 391, DP 737061, Farnborough Drive, Moss Vale to various sizes and rezone Lot 201, DP 1095417, Farnborough Drive, Moss Vale from R5 Large Lot Residential to B1 Neighbourhood Centre. | | | | |
| PP Number ; | PP_2013_WINGE_005_00 | Dop File No : | 13/06092-1 | |
| Proposal Details | | | | |
| Date Planning Proposal Received : | 02-Apr-2013 | LGA covered : | Wingecarribee | |
| Region : | Southern | RPA : | Wingecarribee Shire Council | |
| State Electorate : | GOULBURN | Section of the Act : | 55 - Planning Proposal | |
| LEP Type : | Spot Rezoning | | | |
| Location Details | Location Details | | | |
| Street : Fai | rnborough Drive | | | |
| Suburb : | City : | Moss Vale | Postcode : 2577 | |
| Land Parcel : Lo | t 391, DP 737061 | | | |
| Street : Fai | rnborough Drive | | | |
| Suburb : | City : | Moss Vale | Postcode : 2577 | |
| Land Parcel : Lo | t 201, DP 1095417 | | | |
| DoP Planning Offi | cer Contact Details | | | |
| Contact Name : | Meredith McIntyre | | | |
| Contact Number : | 0262297912 | | <u>.</u> | |
| Contact Email : | meredith.mcintyre@planning.nsv | w.gov.au | | |
| RPA Contact Deta | RPA Contact Details | | | |
| Contact Name : | Susan Stannard | | | |
| Contact Number : | 0248680854 | | | |
| Contact Email : | Susan.stannard@wsc.nsw.gov.a | น | | |
| DoP Project Mana | DoP Project Manager Contact Details | | | |
| Contact Name : | Mark Parker | | | |
| Contact Number : | 0242249468 | | | |
| Contact Email : | mark.parker@planning.nsw.gov.a | au | | |

Farnborough Drive, Moss Vale - amend Lot Size Map and rezone land to B1

Land Release Data

| Land Release Data | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Growth Centre : | N/A | Release Area Name : | N/A |
| Regional / Sub Regional Strategy : | Sydney-Canberra Corridor Regional Strategy | Consistent with Strategy : | Yes |
| MDP Number : | | Date of Release : | |
| Area of Release (Ha) | | Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) : | |
| No. of Lots : | 102 | No. of Dwellings (where relevant) : | 102 |
| Gross Floor Area: | 0 | No of Jobs Created : | 0 |
| The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment : | Yes | | |
| Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : | Νο | | - |
| If Yes, comment : | | | |
| Supporting notes | | | |
| Internal Supporting Notes : | | | |
| External Supporting Notes : | Part one of this proposal is to am Large Lot Residential, being the la The subject land had approval in of the site in 1993. Both approval minimum of 4,000sqm per lot. Th specifically designed to maintain endangered ecological communit | ast stage of a rural residentia 1982 for a subdivision and ar Is propose a standard subdiv e planning proposal introduc lot yield but provide some pr | l estate east of Moss Vale. n updated approval for part ision layout to the current es a range of lot sizes |
| | Part 2 of the proposal involves an Neighbourhood Centre to suppor activity. | - | - |
| Adequacy Assessmen | t | | |
| Statement of the obj | jectives - s55(2)(a) | | |
| Is a statement of the ob | jectives provided? Yes | | 8 |
| Comment : | Council's objective for Part on site. | e of the proposal is to "lock i | n" a subdivision outcome for the |
| | The proposal will also provide | for some limited commercial | activity within the estate. |
| Explanation of prov | isions provided - s55(2)(b) | | |
| is an explanation of pro | visions provided? Yes | | |
| Comment : | This is clear and supported by | indicative mapping. | |
| | | | |

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
2.1 Environment Protection Zones
3.1 Residential Zones
3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments
6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 Drinking Water Catchments Regional Environmental Plan No. 1 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

The Council is yet to consult with the NSW Rural Fire Service, as required by s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and as such, it is unclear if the proposal is consistent or justifiably inconsistent. This will need to be determined once the RFS's comments have been obtained.

In addition s117 Direction 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments requires consultation with the Sydney Catchment Authority.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Indicative mapping has been provided with the proposal. This will be suitable for public exhibition, but amendments to the LEP Maps will need to be undertaken prior to finalisation of the planning proposal.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : Council has proposed a 28 day exhibition period which is considered appropriate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment : Council has provided sufficient information to enable an appropriate assessment to be undertaken.

Council has not provided a detailed discussion around options for achieving the desired outcome.

Other options include:

(1) Rezone the land to R2 Low Density Residential rather than retain the R5 Large Lot Residential Zone. The proposed density is similar to areas currently zoned R2. Clause 4.6 of the LEP could then be used to amend the minimum lot sizes to achieve the desired outcome.

The practice of "locking in" subdivision plans prior to the lodgement of a development application (and thus limiting development control options for the site) could potentially be inconsistent with s117 Direction 6.3 Site Specific Provisions.

(2) Another option is the introduction of a lot averaging provision for the R5 Zone that would allow Council to achieve a similar outcome without the need to amend the Lot Size Map.

RECOMMENDATION: Encourage Council to consider other options that are more flexible.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation **Council's Principal LEP was made in 2010.** to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

| Need for planning proposal : | The planning proposal is partly driven by Council attempting to protect the endangered ecological community on the subject land. The proposed amendments to the Lot Size Map (and the accompanying subdivision plan) will increase the density of parts of the site and provide for an additional 26 lots over the currently approved subdivision plan (from 1982/1993). |
|---|---|
| | However, the substantial area of Southern Highlands Shale Woodland will be afforded some level of protection with this proposal that it would otherwise not receive. |
| Consistency with strategic planning framework : | The proposal is not changing the zoning of the land and much of the proposed changes to the minimum lot size are consistent with other rural residential areas. |
| | Council believes that increasing the density of the site will meet the identified demand in Council's recent Housing and Demographic Study. |
| | It will not be inconsistent with Council's strategic planning framework. |
| Environmental social economic impacts : | Part one of the proposal will have a potentially significant impact on a known endangered ecological community and a range of threatened fauna species (mainly birds), due to the required clearing of native vegetation and the associated impacts of residential development adjoining nature reserves (eg. domestic animals, urban activities, exotic plant species, noise and so on). |
| | Council has not yet consulted with the Office of Environment and Heritage. |
| | The increased lot yield on the site (from 76 to 102) is not expected to generate adverse impacts on local services and facilities. |
| | Part two of the proposal involves the rezoning of a small portion of land to B1 Neighbourhood Centre. This will provide a range of social benefits to the local community within the estate and surrounding rural area. |

| ssessment Process | \$ | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Proposal type : | Routine | Community Consultation Period : | 28 Days |
| Fimeframe to make ∟EP : | 9 Month | Delegation : | RPA |
| Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) | Hawkesbury - Nepean Office of Environment NSW Rural Fire Service | - | |
| s Public Hearing by the | PAC required? | Νο | |
| 2)(a) Should the matter | proceed ? | Yes | |
| | amendments to the LE ecological community applicant to undertake | the applicant is within its rights to de P will provide some level of protection on the site as well as an incentive (of this protection. to use its delegations to complete th | on to the endangered f an additional 26 lots) for the |
| Resubmission - s56(2)(b |): No | | |
| f Yes, reasons : | | 2 | |
| dentify any additional st | udies, if required. | | |
| f Other, provide reasons | S : | | |
| No further studies requ | ired. A flora and fauna s | tudy was prepared and accompanie | s the proposal. |
| dentify any internal cons | sultations, if required : | | |
| No internal consultation | n required | | |
| s the provision and fund | ling of state infrastructure | relevant to this plan? No | |
| f Yes, reasons : | | | |
| | | | |
| uments | | | |
| Document File Name | | DocumentType N | ame Is Public |
| • . | Farnborough Moss Vale | by David Proposal | Yes |
| Matthews FINAL.pdf | ctober 2012 ndf | Proposal | Yes |
| 2 - Council Report 24 October 2012.pdf 3 - Council Resolution 24 October 2012.pdf | | Proposal | Yes |
| 1a - Lot_391_Connectiv | vity_Map.pdf | Мар | Yes |
| 1b - Asset Protection Z | ones Map.pdf | Мар | Yes |
| 1c - Vegetation and Co | ntour Man odf | Мар | Yes |

Study

Study

Мар

Мар

Мар

Мар

Proposal

1 - Johnston & Travers Reports - part 1.pdf

1 - Johnston & Travers Reports - part 2.pdf

6(a) - Farnborough Locational LSZ Map scanned.pdf

4 - SCA Comments Farnborough.pdf

6(b) - Master Plan of Subdivision.pdf

6(c) - EEC Map.pdf 6(d) - Bushfire Map.pdf Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

| Attachment_4Evaluation_criteria_for_the_delegation Proposal Yes _of_pla.docx anning Team Recommendation | | | |
|---|---|----------|--|
| | | | |
| S.117 directions: | 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones | | |
| | 2.1 Environment Protection Zones | | |
| | 3.1 Residential Zones | | |
| 8 | 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport | | |
| | 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection | | |
| | 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies | | |
| | 5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments | | |
| | 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements | | |
| | 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions | | |
| | | | |
| Additional Information : | It is recommended that the delegate of the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure | | |
| | determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act, that an amendment to the Wingec LEP 2010 to amend the Lot Size Map for Lot 391, DP 737061 and to rezone Lot 201 | | |
| | 1095417 from R5 Large Lot Residential to B1 Neighbourhood Centre should proce | | |
| | subject to the following conditions: | | |
| | ,, | | |
| | 1. Community consultation will be required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the | | |
| | Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows: | | |
| | (a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 28 days; and | | |
| | (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for p | | |
| | exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be r | | |
| | publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in A guide to Prepar | ring | |
| | Local Environmental Plans (Department of Planning 2012). | | |
| | 2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(| 2)(d) of | |
| | the EP&A Act: | | |
| | Office of Environment and Heritage | | |
| | Hawkesbury Nepean Catchment Management Authority | | |
| | NSW Rural Fire Service | | |
| | Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and a | any | |
| | relevant supporting material. Each public authority is to be given at least 21 days | s to | |
| | comment on the proposal, or to indicate that they will require additional time to | | |
| | comment on the proposal. Public authorities may request additional information | or | |
| | additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal. | | |
| | 3. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)(e) o | of the | |
| | EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise | | |
| | conduct a public hearing. | | |
| | | | |
| | 4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week followin | ig the | |
| | date of the Gateway determination. | | |
| | 5. Council is authorised to use its delegation to complete the LEP following public | с | |
| | consultation. | | |
| | 6 SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS It is recommanded that | | |
| | 6. SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS - It is recommended that: (a) Council will need to consult with the NSW Rural Fire Service prior to public | | |
| | consultation and take into account any comments it receives. When this consulta | tion is | |
| | completed the Director-General can then be satisfied that the planning proposal is | | |
| | consistent with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection; | ~ | |
| | (b) The Director General can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent | t with a | |
| | other relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are only of minor signif | | |
| | and | | |

| arnborough Drive, Mo | ess Vale - amend Lot Size Map and rezone land to B1 | | |
|----------------------|---|--|--|
| | (c) no further consultation or referral is required in relation to s117 Directions. | | |
| | 7. Council is encouraged to consider other options that provide greater flexibility for development outcomes. These could include: | | |
| | (a) Rezoning the land to R2 Low Density Residential and use clause 4.6 of the LEP to amend the minimum lot sizes to achieve the desired outcome; and | | |
| | (b) Introduction of a lot averaging provision for the R5 Zone that would allow Council to achieve a similar outcome without the need to amend the Lot Size Map. | | |
| | Council is encouraged to discuss options further with the Southern Regional Planning Team. | | |
| Supporting Reasons : | The proposed subdivision plan to be implemented through the amendments to the Lot Size Map provides a far better outcome than the current subdivision plan for the endangered ecological community. | | |
| Signature: | MMPah | | |
| Printed Name: | MARK PARKER Local Planning Manage Date: 21st May 2013 | | |
| | 0 | | |